

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,986	12/11/2003	David Hawley	21100.0094(7159-410) 5867	
7	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
Mitchell S. Feller			PASCHALL, MARK H	
Hogan & Hartson, L.L.P. 875 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10022			3742	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/731,986	HAWLEY, DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark H Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-11,15-19,23-26 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4-6,11-14,20-22 and 27-30</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or .					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	:					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		art of Paper No./Mail Date 20040710				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,7-11,15-19,2-26,31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muehlberger et al 835' in view of Anderson et al 650'. Muehlberber et al teach the claimed plasma torch including multiple cathodes arranged around a common anodes in figure 3. Note that the anode 105 in figure 3 depicts region 148 which has a sharp protruding ridge directed radically inward and such ridge would conventionally attract the arc as an arc attachment area. The patent to Anderson et al more clearly teaches that an arc attachment region should comprise a sleeve of higher melting material such as tungsten (sleeve 34 in figure 3a) to hinder arc erosion. This sleeve comprises a predefined arc attachment area and provides less wear on the anode as a benefit. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Muehlberger et al system to use predefined arc attachment areas for the anode area adjacent the cathode areas to provide the benefit of less erosion to the arc attachment zone. This modification could comprise a region of tungsten around the inside diameter of the anode in the region of area 142. As per claim 3 note that area 142 is elevated as claimed. As per claim 8 note Anderson et al sets forth tungsten, as claimed. As per claim 10, copper is a conventional electrode material in plasma torch systems. As per

claim 15 Muehlberger et al teach cooling channels in the anode and they obviously cool the attachment region slower since this region protrudes further away fro the channels than the other anode regions.

Claims 4,5,6,11-14,20-22,27-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Use of a ridge as the attachment area and shaping the bore as a plurality of overlapping generally circular bodies, as set froth in the above claims, are features not taught in the prior art of record. Also use of axially elongated regions as per claim 11 is not taught by the prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Crawmer, Delcea and Butler are cited for disclosing pertinent plasma devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

MAPall

mp